



**Investigation Findings
&
Analysis**

**“Algonac Residential Investigation”
April 25th 2009**



Algonac Residential Investigation
“Final Report”
Submitted by: Chris Forsythe

Location:
Algonac, MI

Date:
April 25th / 26th 2009

Source:
Homeowner

Investigation Duration:
5.5 Hours (20:00-01:30)

Investigators Present:
Chris
Wayne
Dee
Chris C.
Erin

Case History – Consultation Notes

Original Consultation / Investigation Date: 4/18/09 - 4/25/09

MPI Representative: Chris Forsythe / Wayne Miracle / Jo Gifford

Note: All permission and investigation request documentation reviewed and signed by client at the date of previous consultation / investigation.

Supposed Activity:

Knocking, Disappearing Object Phenomena (DOP), Shadows, Ectoplasm, Physical Attacks (Male), Footsteps, Electronic Device Malfunctions, Voices, Whispers, Cold Spots, Kinetic Movement

Notes: the activity as noted by the client is irregular and inconsistent and occurs mostly between 17:30 Hrs to 04:00 Hrs.

We met with the client to be able to conduct the interview session as well as to gather the baseline data and mapping of the location. During the interview questioning we were made aware that several other occupants of this residence had also experienced activity. The client is a card reader (tarot) and a clairvoyant / medium. We also had learned that years ago the use of an Ouija board had taken place in the home multiple times to communicate with what the client refers to as a male spirit. According to the client the presence in the home is very protective and goes by the name of 'Tom'; no data could be found to reference or confirm this.

Location Condition:

Mid 1970's sub rural single story dwelling, with attic. Near water and close proximity to other homes noted. The lot itself was subdivided some time ago and the current residence was built.

Location Weather:

On our arrival it was after dark and a heavy rain was falling; heavy winds and lightning were also noted. This activity was present most of the day and was forecast to last into the early morning hours.

Location Weather Cont.:

Readings Taken: Continuous
Moon Phase: New
Solar X-Rays: Normal
Geomagnetic Field: Quiet
Temperature: 68 F Avg.
Feels Like: 59 F
Visibility: 8 Miles
Pressure: 29.98 In. (Sea Level)
Dew Point: 53 F
Humidity: 74 % Avg. (100% Max)
UV Index: 0.02 % Low
Wind: 16 Mph. WSW Avg.
Events: Heavy Rain, Thunderstorms

Actual Time: 6:34 AM EDT - 8:24 PM EDT
Length of Visible Light: 14 Hrs. 51 Mins.
Length of Day: 13 Hrs. 50 Mins.

Equipment on Hand:

Digital Cameras
Digital Voice Recorders
External Microphones
CCTV DVR System (4 Cameras) IR Capability / Lorex
Flashlights
2 Way Radios
Mobile Video Units
DIN Cables – 400 Ft. Approx.

Team Rally Point & Monitoring Station

Small Bedroom
Operations / Technician – Dee P.

Team Assignments:

Notes: All teams were broken down and divided amongst three sections of the location for appropriate coverage of that area; all teams spent an hour in each location with a 15 minute break in between each round for refit and reload of equipment.

Location Key:

During the investigation process only one team was in the residence at any time to avoid audio / video contamination. Each team had one hour rotations with entire area coverage.

Alpha – Entire Area Coverage

Bravo – Entire Area Coverage

Team Rotation:

20:00 – 21:28 – Setup / Briefing

21:28 – 22:28 – Rotation #1 / Bravo

22:39 – 23:39 – Rotation #2 / Alpha

23:50 – 00:50 – Rotation #3 / Bravo

01:04 – 01:22 – Rotation #4 / Alpha

01:30 – 02:30 – Equipment Breakdown & Recovery

Equipment Placement “Video”:

DVR Camera #1 – Living Room (Facing down center hallway)

DVR Camera #2 – Bedroom #1 (Area coverage)

DVR Camera #3 – Craft Room (Area coverage)

DVR Camera #4 – Master Bedroom (Area coverage)

DVR Camera #5 – Attic (West facing)

Note: Camera #5 was brought online prior to the 4th rotation as requested by the client due to possible activity.

Equipment Placement “Audio”:

Mobile Audio Units – Each investigative team had at least one mobile recording unit on hand during each investigation rotation and area.

Note: All ‘mobile’ units were outfitted with external microphones to limit possible contamination of audio evidence.

Stationary Audio Unit(s) – One stationary unit was used during the investigation; the unit was located in the attic area (VN-240 Olympus)

DVR “Camera” Audio - Each DVR camera is equipped with direct feed audio to the DVR hard drive and will act as a stationary device for each camera position.

Equipment Notes / Checks:

All recording equipment was loaded with both fresh batteries and recording media as is MPI investigation protocol, and voice checks were performed for recognition and recording quality. All media transferred to digital file for review upon completion of the investigation.

Evidence Review & Findings:

EMF:

No variance from original baseline was noted at any time during the investigation duration.

Photographs (Digital / Film):

No anomalous photographs were noted for this report.

EVP / Audio Analysis (All):

Camera #1A Audio (Stationary) – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Camera #2A Audio (Stationary) – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Camera #3A Audio (Stationary) – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Camera #4A Audio (Stationary) – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Camera #5A Audio (Stationary) – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Mobile Units (All):

No physical evidence was captured on any device at the time of the investigation.

Stationary Devices (All):

No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Video:

Note: All cameras were hard mounted to tripods and checked prior to the start of the investigation; all cord lines were taped and marked to eliminate false camera movement.

DVR Camera #1 - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera #2 - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera #3 - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Video Cont.:

DVR Camera #4 - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera #5 - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Investigator Feeling / Personal Experiences:

(Note: Personal experiences cannot in itself determine paranormal activity in a location, unless documented by video or audio equipment)

Chris F. – No personal experiences to report.

Wayne – No personal experiences to report.

Chris C. - No personal experiences to report.

Erin – During the 3rd rotation Chris C. and I were sitting in the living room with the client, the homeowner and I began to feel very agitated and irritated for no apparent reason. This happened during our EVP session and also while talking with the client about the activity in the home. I cannot explain why I suddenly had this feeling but the client had said that this is not unusual in the home.

Dee – During the investigation, while watching the monitors with the homeowner, I found myself agitated. When I stepped outside the feeling subsided almost immediately, I was not aware that this was a claim of the homeowner.

Final:

We entered this case to try and document the claims of activity that the homeowner had experienced over a period of time, we set our equipment up in the areas that have had activity and set upon the investigation. During the evening several of the investigators did have an experience that did coincide with at least one of the claims that the homeowner had made. Unfortunately upon review we were unable to capture anything on the audio, video, or photographic equipment that was present at the location. We did note at the initial interview of the client that she had claimed to have clairvoyant / medium abilities and that on several occasions that divination had been used to try and contact any presence that may be in the home, we cannot at this time based on the data gathered that any anomalous activity is present however we do believe that the homeowner may be the catalyst for the activity. We will continue to work with this client and try to further substantiate the claims of a haunting at this location, this report and its findings will be presented in the near future to the client for review and retention.

Compiled & Submitted,

**Christopher B Forsythe
President / Field Lead Investigator
Metro Paranormal Investigations
www.metroparanormal.org
metroparanormal@comcast.net**