



**Investigation Findings
&
Analysis**

**“Jackson Residential Investigation”
February 28th 2009**



Jackson Residential Investigation
“Final Report”
Submitted by: Chris Forsythe

Location:
Jackson, MI

Date:
February 28th 2009

Source:
Homeowner

Investigation Duration:
7 Hours (19:00-02:00)

Investigators Present:
Chris C. - Technical Manager
Erin - Investigator
Wayne - Lead Investigator / Operations Supervisor
Deana – Investigator / Medical Specialist / Videographer
Jo – Case manager / Investigator
Russ – Technical Specialist
Matt – Investigator / Technical Assistant

Case History – Consultation Notes

Original Consultation / Investigation Date: 12/07/08 – 2/28/09

MPI Representative: Jo & Russ Gifford

Note: All permission and investigation request documentation reviewed and signed by client at the date of previous consultation / investigation.

Case manager Jo and technical specialist Russ (west side operations) met with the client to discuss the upcoming investigation as well as start our baseline procedures and mapping. During the initial interview the representatives present were able to locate the places that seemed to have the most activity as well as talk with the client to track any new activity that may have been occurring. As of the date of investigation most of the activity had calmed or ceased so any and all claims gathered from the client will be reviewed and changes will be made to equipment locations as needed. Further interviews will be taken with others affected at the date of the investigation.

Supposed Activity:

Voices (familiar), Knocking, Growling, Banging, Scratching on Walls, Kinetic Movement, Footsteps, Sleep Issues (kids), Animal Reactivity

Location Condition:

Sub rural residential home circa 1960's construction, main floor with basement, minor renovations noted (current). The home sits on a parcel that once was property of the Ella W.Sharp (1855 – 1912) park which is located near the banks of Sharpes Lake. No tragedies were found in relation to this location or its occupants.

Location Weather:

Upon our arrival at the location falling temperatures and a steady increase in wind speed were noted, light snow started to fall after 23:00 Hrs.

Readings Taken: Continuous

Moon Phase: Waxing Crescent

Solar X-Rays: Normal

Geomagnetic Field: Quiet

Temperature: 19 F

Feels Like: 6 F

Visibility: 10.00 Miles

Pressure: 30.36 Steady

Dew Point: 9 F

Humidity: 58% - 63% Avg.

UV Index: 1 LV > 1 Low

Wind: NE 14 Mph (Base Average)

Events: Snow

Location Weather Cont.:

Actual Time: 7:14 AM EST - 6:26 PM EST

Civil Twilight: 6:46 AM EST - 6:55 PM EST

Nautical Twilight: 6:13 AM EST - 7:27 PM EST

Astronomical Twilight: 5:41 AM EST - 8:00 PM EST

Moon: 8:29 AM EST (2/28) - 10:48 PM EST (2/28)

Length of Visible Light: 12h 08m

Length of Day: 11h 12m

Equipment on Hand:

Digital Cameras (4)

Digital Voice Recorders (6)

Micro Cassette Recorders (2)

External Microphones

CCTV DVR System (4 Cameras) IR Capability / Lorex

Flashlights

2 Way Radios (4)

EMF Gauges (1)

Mobile Video Units (1)

DIN Cables – 400 Ft. Apprx.

Team Rally Point & Monitoring Station

Breezeway / Attached

Operations / Technician – Chris C. / Russ / Matt

Team Assignments:

Notes: All teams were broken down and divided amongst three sections of the theater for appropriate coverage of that area; all teams spent an hour in each location with a 15 minute break in between each round for refit and reload of equipment.

Location Key:

Each team was given entire area coverage of the main floor / basement during each rotation, on the last rotation the entire team positioned in stationary locations on the main floor for observation and notation.

Alpha – Matt, Jo, Deana

Bravo – Wayne, Erin

Team Rotation:

19:00 – 20:30 – Setup / Briefing

20:30 – 21:30 – Rotation #1

21:45 – 22:45 – Rotation #2

23:00 – 00:00 – Rotation #3

00:15– 01:00 – Rotation #4

01:00 – 02:00 – Equipment Breakdown & Recovery

Equipment Placement “Video”:

DVR Camera #1 – Bedroom

DVR Camera #2 – Living Room / Kitchen

DVR Camera #3 – Master Bedroom

DVR Camera #4 – Main Hallway

Equipment Placement “Audio”:

Mobile Audio Units – Each investigative team had at least one mobile recording unit on hand during each investigation rotation and area.

Note: All ‘mobile’ units were outfitted with external microphones to limit possible contamination of audio evidence.

DVR “Camera” Audio - Each DVR camera is equipped with direct feed audio to the DVR hard drive and will act as a stationary device for each camera position.

Equipment Notes / Checks:

All recording equipment was loaded with both fresh batteries and recording media as is MPI investigation protocol, and voice checks were performed for recognition and recording quality. All media transferred to digital file for review upon completion of the investigation.

Evidence Review & Findings:

EMF:

No irregular EMF readings were noted during the investigation duration, normal household baseline readings were observed at all times.

Photographs (Digital / Film):

No anomalous photographs were noted for this report.

Note: Prior to this investigation the client had several photos that were taken at this location in front of a mirror with additional images imbedded into the digital target present, several attempts were made to recreate this image with no results. We cannot at this time assume that the photos are paranormal in nature, nor can we rule out camera malfunction or image distortion on the device used. (See case file for original and recreation photos)

EVP / Audio Analysis (All):

Camera #1A Audio (Stationary) – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Camera #2A Audio (Stationary) – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Camera #3A Audio (Stationary) – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Camera #4A Audio (Stationary) – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Mobile Units (All):

Notes: All analog devices were outfitted with external microphones to avoid internal machine noise and stands were used during the recording sessions to avoid handling noise.

Evidence Review & Findings Cont.:

Video:

Note: All cameras were hard mounted to tripods and checked prior to the start of the investigation; all cord lines were taped and marked to eliminate false camera movement.

DVR Camera #1 - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera #2 - Sample #1

Device: IR Camera

Make / Model: Lorex SG7555B W / Audio

Cable Length: 100 Ft.

Conversion Software: Windows Movie Maker 2.1 to WMV (Segment Trim and label)

Time / Rotation: 1st Rotation – 20:40 PM to 20:52 PM

Noted Time (IMTL Record): 8:47 PM

Area Taken: Kitchen

Investigators Present: Deana, Jo, Matt

Segment Length (Raw): 03:06.40

Capture Area (Raw): 01:09.53 - 01:10.24

Description: During the 1st rotation, Jo, Deana, and Matt were in the master bedroom (stationary) which is adjacent to the kitchen were claims that the cupboard doors are said to open and close. In the video segment you can hear the investigators asking if anything present can knock on the wall or make any sort of noise to verify a presence, shortly after a minute into the clip a noise is heard in the kitchen. After it was heard the investigation team present moved into the room to investigate and found nothing out of place, this sound was loud enough to be heard throughout the location and was heard by a majority of the team and the client. Upon reviewing this section on site and referring with the homeowner this is the sound that has been heard by the client on several occasions prior to the investigation.

DVR Camera #2 - Sample #2

Device: IR Camera

Make / Model: Lorex SG7555B W / Audio

Cable Length: 100 Ft.

Conversion Software: Windows Movie Maker 2.1 to WMV (Segment Trim and label)

Time / Rotation: 2nd Rotation – 21:48 PM to 21:55 PM

Noted Time (IMTL Record): 9:54 PM

Area Taken: Master Bedroom / Kitchen

Investigators Present: Wayne, Erin, Chris C.

Segment Length (Raw): 03:00.00

Capture Area (Raw): 01:00.00 - 01:00.31

Evidence Review & Findings Cont.:

DVR Camera #2 - Sample #2 Cont.

Description: During the 2nd rotation, Wayne, Erin, and Chris C. were in the master bedroom (stationary) which is adjacent to the kitchen, in a prior rotation a noise from the kitchen area was heard and they were asking if anything present could recreate this noise. The noise itself was identified by the client as reoccurring cabinet door movement, at approximately 9:54 PM another noise was heard in the kitchen area. Upon investigation once again nothing was found out of place and further testing of the doors themselves proved that the sound was almost identical to a cabinet door closing.

DVR Camera #3 - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera #4 - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Investigator Feeling / Personal Experiences:

(Note: Personal experiences cannot in itself determine paranormal activity in a location, unless documented by video or audio equipment)

Deana – I thought I saw a shadow in the hallway, and while in the master bedroom the flashlight went off and I had seen a shadow on the floor in the living room, I am unsure if it was her casting the shadow or not. I also heard knocking, but couldn't tell where it was coming from. Matt, Jo, and I both heard what sounded like a cabinet door rattle coming from the kitchen.

Wayne – I heard what sounded like a cabinet door rattle coming from the kitchen.

Jo – Dee, Matt and I heard what sounded like a cupboard slamming in the kitchen, we also saw what looked like a small shadow in the hall. The three of us also witnessed two instances in which the flashlight (trigger object) reacted to direct questions while it was placed in the hallway. The flashlight turned off/on when asked to do so.

Russ - While watching the video, Chris & Erin were out in the car, so I was all alone. I heard a noise coming from the area of the front door. I was not able to determine what was making the noise. It was a rattling noise, but no one was at the front door.

While monitoring the DVR, the investigation group was in the Master Bedroom and responded to a noise in the Kitchen. I went back and reviewed the audio on the video Camera that was located in the Kitchen. I heard what sounded like a cupboard door closing. After investigation, we found out that the family was all in the basement, under the master bedroom. We also were able to repeat this sound, by slightly opening the right door under the sink, and letting it close. After reviewing the video several times, we were not able to catch any movement of any of the cupboard doors. I did verify that there were no MPI personal or family members in the room at the time of this noise.

Investigator Feeling / Personal Experiences Cont.:

(Note: Personal experiences cannot in itself determine paranormal activity in a location, unless documented by video or audio equipment)

Chris C. – No personal Experiences to report.

Erin - No personal Experiences to report.

Matt - I heard something that sounded like a cupboard door closing. But I could not tell from what room it was coming from, only that it did not happen in a room that we occupied.

Final:

During this investigation we had set out to try and find natural causes or instance that could be the sources for many if not all of the homeowner's claims. As per our normal investigation protocols and procedures we set forth to try and gather any data we could to document and substantiate the homeowner's claims of a haunting. Several of the claims could be rationalized by normal environmental exposure; however several of the investigative team present did have personal experiences that correspond with the homeowners claims. We did also obtain through video documentation what sounded like cabinet doors in the kitchen closing that also correspond further with the claims made (See sample #1 and #2 DVR Camera #2 also pages #7 and #8 of this report for details). With no further evidence captured on any other device we cannot at this time call this location haunted, however we can say that there were several anomalous instances that did occur. We will remain in contact with this client to try and further document the claims made, we will be meeting with the client in the coming days to present our findings and to inquire if any further activity has taken place.

Compiled & Submitted,

Christopher B Forsythe
President / Field Lead Investigator
Metro Paranormal Investigations
www.metroparanormal.org
metroparanormal@comcast.net