



**Investigation Findings
&
Analysis**

**“Eastpointe Residential Investigation”
January 9, 2009**



Eastpointe Residential Investigation
“Final Report”
Submitted by: Chris Forsythe

Location:
Eastpointe, MI

Date:
January 9, 2009

Source:
Homeowner

Investigation Duration:
20:00 – 00:00 (4 Hrs.)

Investigators Present:
Chris F. – Lead Investigator / Operations Supervisor
Wendy - Investigator
Chris C. – Technical Manager
Wayne – Lead Investigator / Operations Supervisor
Deana – Investigator / Medical Specialist
Kevin – Investigator / Legal Advisor
Kristie – Investigator / Case manager

Location Condition:

Typical neighborhood / suburban build, two story residential home. Close proximity to major roads with active traffic noted. Circa 1950 construction.

Case History – Consultation Notes

Note: All release and investigation permission documents completed at the time of the consultation.

Date: December 30th 2008

MPI Representatives: Kevin, Kristie

Upon meeting with the client the staff on hand were able to perform the initial interview and gather initial baseline data for mapping.

Alleged Activity:

Footsteps, Uneasy feelings, disappearing object phenomena, possible apparitions

Location Weather:

Moon Phase: Waxing Gibbous

Solar X-Rays: Normal

Geomagnetic Field: Quiet

Temperature: 23 F

Feels Like: 23F

Visibility: 2:00 Miles

Pressure: 30.12 Steady

Dew Point: 19 F

Humidity: 83%

UV Index: 0 Low

Wind: Calm

Equipment on Hand:

Digital Cameras

Digital Voice Recorders

Micro Cassette Recorders

External Microphones

CCTV DVR System (4 Cameras) IR Capability

Flashlights

KII Meter

Note:

All recording equipment was loaded with both fresh batteries and recording media as is MPI investigation protocol, and voice checks were performed for recognition and recording quality. All media transferred to digital file for review upon completion of the investigation.

Team Rally Point & Monitoring Station

Upstairs Dining Area

Operations / Technician – Chris C. / Wayne

Team Assignments / Rotation:

Due to this location's small size no team schedules or rotations were set; a 3 person team had full access to all areas in one hour increments throughout the investigation duration.

Equipment Placement - Video:


(See location map for camera angle and position)

DVR Camera #1 – Laundry Room

DVR Camera #2 – Hallway / Basement


DVR Camera #3 – Main Floor / Hallway

DVR Camera #4 – Main Floor / Living Room



Equipment Placement - Video (cont.):

(See location map for camera angle and position)



Equipment Placement - Audio:

Mobile Units – Each investigative team had at least one mobile recording unit on hand during each investigation rotation and area.

Stationary Units – Laundry Room / Shelf (Digital Device)

Notes: All ‘mobile’ units were outfitted with external microphones to limit possible contamination of audio evidence.

Notes: DVR cameras #1 through #4 were outfitted with on-board audio.

Evidence Review & Findings

Photographs:

As is MPI protocol, multiple photographs were taken during the duration of the investigation period. Upon review of all submitted photographic material, no anomalous activity was captured on film at this location for report.

EVP / Audio Analysis (All):

Mobile Audio – No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Stationary Audio (Digital) – No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera Audio #1 – No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera Audio #2 – No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera Audio #3 – No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera Audio #4 – No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Video:

DVR Camera #1 – No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera #2 – No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera #3 – No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera #4 – No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Investigator Feeling / Experiences:

(Note: Personal experiences cannot in itself determine paranormal activity in a location, unless documented by video or audio equipment)

Chris C. - No personal experiences to report.

Wayne – No personal experiences to report.

Deana – No personal experiences to report.

Kevin – No personal experiences to report.

Kristie – No personal experiences to report.

Chris F. – No personal experiences to report.

Wendy – No personal experiences to report.

Final:

Unfortunately, during this investigation we were unable to capture any viable proof of a haunting or any other anomalous activity on any of our devices stationed at this location. We will continue to remain in contact with this client to follow up on any further activity that may occur and set up further investigations as necessary.

Compiled & Submitted,

Christopher B Forsythe
President / Field Lead Investigator
Metro Paranormal Investigations
www.metroparanormal.org
metroparanormal@comcast.net