



**Investigation Findings
&
Analysis**

**Saginaw / Bearinger Investigation
November 11th 2008**



Saginaw / Bearinger Investigation
Final Report
Submitted by: Chris Forsythe

Location:
Saginaw, MI

Date:
November 11th 2008

Source:
MMPI / Owner (Joint Investigation)

Investigation Duration:
6.5 Hours (21:00 - 03:30)

Investigators Present (MPI):
Chris F.
Wayne M.
Kevin J.
Chris C.
Russ G.

Case History – Consultation Notes

Consultation / Investigation Date: N/A
MPI Representative: Chris Forsythe

Notes: MPI did not perform a consultation with the client prior to the investigation; all initial contacts and history of the location as well as possible activity was gathered and noted by the MMPI team representatives. (Refer to MMPI location case files for further reference and instance)

Case History – Consultation Notes Cont.

Notes: All permission and investigation request documentation reviewed and signed by client at the date of consultation / investigation (See MMPI case file for permission documentation)

Supposed Activity:

Apparitions, cold spots, “heavy” feelings, footsteps (see MMPI case file for further data on known noted activity)

Location Condition:

Six story office building located in downtown Saginaw, built between 1881 and 1882 and listed as the city’s first “fireproof” building. The building itself has retail space located on the first floor while the upper floors contain structure for office space and a central atrium accessible from the second floor. Most of the building remains unused, and is currently undergoing several areas of renovation and reconstruction which resulted in dusty and drafty conditions. In addition, the building itself is located close to the Dow Arena which can cause heavy contamination of evidence if an event is being held, as voices from the assembled crowd could easily be heard from even inside the building. Also noted several times were the steam / baseboard heating system which at variable intervals was heard to make noises that could be misinterpreted as paranormal activity. This was prevalent in the basement area and throughout the building. Also noted was a digital sign located on a nearby stand that cast changing shadows onto and into several sides of the building facing that area.

Location Weather:

Notes: All conditions noted no less than one hour prior to the arrival of the team at the location. Internal and external readings / conditions were taken throughout the night.

Upon our arrival at this location it was a rain / sleet mix, with falling temperatures noted throughout the investigation duration.

Moon Phase: Waxing Gibbous

Solar X-Rays: Normal

Geomagnetic Field: Quiet

Temperature: 41 F

Feels Like: 35 F

Visibility: 7.00 Miles

Pressure: 13.66 Falling

Dew Point: 36 F

Humidity: 74%

UV Index: 0 Low

Wind: ESE 13 MPH

Equipment on Hand:

Digital Cameras
Digital Voice Recorders
Micro Cassette Recorders
External Microphones
Laptops
CCTV DVR System (8 Cameras) IR Capability
Black Light Flood lights (8)
Flashlights
2 Way Radios
EMF Gauges
Thermal Gauges (Ambient / Infra Red)
Mobile Video Unit

Team Rally Point & Monitoring Station

3rd Floor (Middle Room)
Operations / Technician – Chris C.

Team Assignments:

Notes: All teams were broken down and divided amongst five sections of the location; all teams spent an hour in each location with a 15 minute break in between each round for refit and reload of equipment.

Notes: This was a joint investigation; both teams split up and covered areas that were prearranged prior to starting to make sure that there was always at least one floor between the investigators to avoid any contamination of the audio devices.

Team Rotation:

21:00 – 22:30 – Setup / Briefing

22:30 – 23:30 – Rotation #1

23:45 – 00:45 – Rotation #2

01:00 – 02:00 – Rotation #3

02:15 – 03:00 – Rotation #4

03:15 – 04:15 – Equipment Breakdown & Recovery

Equipment Placement - Video:

DVR Camera #1 – Basement (Main Room)

DVR Camera #2 – 1st Floor (Long Hallway)

DVR Camera #3 – 2nd Floor (Long Hallway)

DVR Camera #4 – 3rd Floor (Long Hallway)

DVR Camera #5 – 4th Floor (Staircase)

DVR Camera #6 – 5th Floor (Long Hallway)

DVR Camera #7 – 5th Floor (Corner Room)

DVR Camera #8 – 6th Floor (Corner Office)

Mobile Video Units:

One mobile video unit was used during this investigation; it was used throughout the investigation rotation in different areas.

Equipment Placement - Audio:

Mobile Audio Units – Each investigative team had at least one mobile recording unit on hand during each investigation rotation.

Note: All mobile units were outfitted with external microphones to limit possible contamination of audio evidence.

Stationary Audio Units – Three stationary units were used during the investigation period, #1 (Digital) was located on the sixth floor in the main office, #2 (Digital) was located on the fourth floor main hallway, #3 (MCR) was placed in the safe area fifth floor corner room.

DVR Camera Audio - Each DVR camera is equipped with direct feed audio to the DVR hard drive and will act as a stationary device for each camera position.

Equipment Notes / Checks:

All recording equipment was loaded with both fresh batteries and recording media as is MPI investigation protocol, and voice checks were performed for recognition and

recording quality. All media was transferred to digital file for review upon completion of the investigation.

Evidence Review & Findings:

EMF:

Continuous baseline monitoring was performed throughout this location by all of the teams present; due to old construction and added new wiring / electrical renovation this location had several areas that exhibited high EMF readings and anomalies. All data was discounted due to rapid fluctuation in all areas.

Notes: All units were set stationary when readings were taken; this was practice as to avoid any false readings for this report.

Photographs (Digital / Film):

Notes: All photograph EXIF data can be retained from the case file photographs for reference and check.

As is MPI protocol several hundred photographs were taken during the duration of the investigation period. Upon review of all submitted photographic material, no anomalous activity was captured on film at this location to report.

EVP / Audio Analysis (All):

Camera #1A Audio (Stationary) – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Camera #2A Audio (Stationary) – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Camera #3A Audio (Stationary) – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Camera #4A Audio (Stationary) – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Camera #5A Audio (Stationary) – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Camera #6A Audio (Stationary) – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Camera #7A Audio (Stationary) – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Camera #8A Audio (Stationary) – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Mobile Units (All):

Notes: All analog devices were outfitted with external microphones to avoid internal machine noise and stands were used during the recording sessions to avoid handling noise.

After a thorough review of all the submitted audio, no physical evidence was captured at any time during the investigation duration.

Stationary Units (All):

On stationary device #1 located in the corner office of the sixth floor, investigators Wayne M. and Chris F. are recorded placing the device and leave the room. In the segment Wayne is making notes on the device and a few seconds later after the device is set down and a “whisper” is heard. We believe it sounds like it is saying “Chris,” however throughout the night the radiators within this location were noisy and we cannot at this time call this a valid piece of evidence until further testing is performed. (Please see segment #1 for reference)

No other physical evidence was captured on any of these devices during the investigation duration.

Video:

Note: All cameras were hard mounted to tripods and checked prior to the start of the investigation; all cord lines were taped and marked to eliminate false camera movement.

DVR Camera #1 - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera #2 - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera #3 - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera #4 - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera #5 - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera #6 - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the

investigation.

DVR Camera #7 - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera #8 - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Mobile Video (All):

MVC #1 - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Investigator Feeling / Personal Experiences:

(Note: Personal experiences cannot in itself determine paranormal activity in a location, unless documented by video or audio equipment)

Chris F. – No personal experiences to report.

Wayne – No personal experiences to report.

Chris C. - No personal experiences to report.

Kevin - No personal experiences to report.

Russ - No personal experiences to report.

Conclusion:

We would like to first thank the entire MMPI team and the owner of this historic building for granting us access to this location; the building itself is a treasure and to be able to investigate the claims of a haunting it was indeed an honor for all of us at MPI. We spent a considerable amount of time at this location and made sure to cover every area that had claims of activity. Unfortunately, while we were unable to confirm or substantiate any of the claims made concerning reported activity, we were able to collect one piece of anomalous audio that will need further study to be able to call it valid evidence. (See segment #1 on client file). We cannot at this time label this location haunted due to lack of feasible data collected at this location on this investigation date. We hope to possibly return to this location in the future to be able to further our study and try to substantiate the claims made by the owner and occupants of this location. This report will be presented to the client for review and retention by a representative of MMPI / MPI by week ending 12/05/08.

Submitted:

Christopher Forsythe

Case Manager / Field Lead Investigator

Metro Paranormal Investigation