



**Investigation Findings  
&  
Analysis**

**Macomb Residential Investigation  
August 22nd 2008**



Macomb Residential Investigation  
Final Report  
Submitted by: Chris Forsythe

**Location:**

Private Residence, Macomb MI

**Date:**

August 22nd 2008

**Source:**

Homeowner

**Investigation Duration:**

6 Hours (20:00-02:00)

**Investigators Present:**

Chris F.  
Wendy F.  
Wayne M.  
Deana M.

**Guests:**

Rita (Sensitive)  
Don (Sensitive)  
John (Sensitive)

**Location Condition:**

New home build (less than 5 years) Bi – Level – Typical suburban build.

**Notes:** During the initial walkthrough the investigative staff had noted that many of the electrical devices were controlled with a centralized remote control. Also noted was that the subdivision itself was still under construction.

**Location Weather:**

The weather upon our arrival was humid with a slight breeze to the north, the possibility of thunderstorms was listed at 20% during the posted investigation schedule.

Moon Phase: Waning Gibbous

Solar X-Rays: Normal

Geomagnetic Field: Quiet

Temperature: 79 F

Feels Like: 81 F

Visibility: 5.00 Miles

Pressure: 30.11 Steady

Dew Point: 67 F

Humidity: 66%

UV Index: 0 Low

Wind: SE 8 MPH

**Equipment on Hand:**

Digital Cameras

Digital Voice Recorders

External Microphones

Laptops (2)

CCTV DVR System (4 Cameras) IR Capability

Flashlights

2 Way Radios

EMF Gauges

**Note:**

All recording equipment was loaded with both fresh batteries and recording media as required, as is MPI investigation protocol. Voice checks were performed on audio recording devices for voice recognition and recording quality. All media was transferred to digital file for review upon completion of the investigation.

**Team Rally Point & Monitoring Station**

**Notes:** See floor plan for placement location – **ORANGE ARROW**

Garage

Operations / Technician – Chris F.

**Team Assignments:**

**Alpha –**

Wendy F.

Deana M.

**Bravo -**

Chris F.

Wayne M.

**Team Rotation:**

**20:00 – 21:00 – Setup / Briefing**

**21:00 – 21:45– Sensitive Walkthrough / Read**

Rita

Don

John

David

**22:00 – 23:00 – Rotation #1**

CC Station – Bravo Team

Alpha Team (Entire Residence Coverage)

**23:15 – 00:15 – Rotation #2**

CC Station – Alpha Team

Bravo Team (Entire Residence Coverage)

**00:15 -- 00:50 – Team Meeting / Consultation**

**01:00 – 02:00 – Rotation #3**

CC Station – Bravo Team

Alpha Team (Entire Residence Coverage)

**02:00 – 02:45 – Equipment Recovery & Breakdown**

**Equipment Placement - Video:**

**Notes:** See floor plan for placement location – **RED ARROW**

**DVR Camera #1** – Main Floor / Spare Bedroom

**DVR Camera #2** – Main Floor / Living Room

**DVR Camera #3** – Main Floor / Den

**DVR Camera #4** – Basement / Master Bedroom

**Equipment Placement - Audio:**

**Notes:** See floor plan for placement location – **BLUE ARROW**


**Mobile Units** – Each investigative team had at least one mobile recording unit on hand during each investigation rotation.

**Stationary Units** – Two stationary units were used during the investigation period, #1 was located in the office area and #2 was left in the living room area.

**Note:** All mobile units were outfitted with external microphones to limit possible contamination of audio evidence.

**Note:** Each DVR camera is equipped with audio, and acts as a stationary device for each camera position.

*Remainder of this page intentionally left blank.*



## Case History – Consultation Notes

**Consultation Date:** 8-22-08

**MPI Representative:** Chris Forsythe

**Note:** All permission and investigation request documentation reviewed and signed by client at the date of consultation / investigation.

### Reported Activity:

- Shadows
- Being touched
- Electrical disturbances
- Phantom smells
- Footsteps
- Extreme animal reactivity
- Feelings of uneasiness
- Noises (general)

As we have worked with this client at his place of business we were familiar with the activity that seems to be around him. We were asked to investigate his residence due to instances that could not be explained. We decided to bring in sensitives at the client's request to see if the activity at his business had in some way followed him home. Upon meeting with the client we were showed the "hot spots" of the residence and set up our equipment accordingly.

During our research of the area records, there were several indicators that at one time the area of this subdivision had been built on *could* have been the grounds of an old asylum. Its use and purpose are unclear and the records are sketchy at best and this could not be verified by any county records. However there are several grave markers in a nearby cemetery that do match minor historical records in regards to this asylum.

### Evidence Review & Findings:

#### Photographs (Digital / Film):

As is MPI protocol, multiple photographs were taken during the duration of the investigation period. Upon review of all submitted photographic material, no anomalous activity was captured on film at this location for report.

#### EVP / Audio (All):

**Camera #1A Audio (Stationary)** – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

**Camera #2A Audio (Stationary)** – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

**Camera #3A Audio (Stationary)** – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

**Camera #4A Audio (Stationary)** – No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

**Mobile Units (All)** – In two different occasions sound of what sounded like wood falling in the basement area were captured on recorder #1 (MCR. After investigating the area several pieces of timber were found on the basement floor and marked to see if they were indeed moving. No loose floor joist bracers were found out of place and no other explanation as to what could have caused the pieces of wood to fall could be identified. In the audio clips you can also hear the investigative staff present reacting to both noises and instances. (See audio clips labeled “Macomb Segment #1 and #2” for reference on client disk.)

**Video:**

**Note:** All cameras were hard mounted to tripods and checked prior to the start of the investigation; all cord lines were taped and marked to eliminate false camera movement.

**DVR Camera #1** - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

**DVR Camera #2** - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

**DVR Camera #3** - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

**DVR Camera #4** - No physical evidence was captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

**EMF:**

No unusual / significant fluctuations or high readings were recorded during the baseline and investigation process.

**Notes:** Centralized electronics control noted in the home during initial consult, higher probability of automatic power up / down present.

**Thermal:**

No abnormal readings were detected during the course of the investigation.

**Investigator Feeling / Experiences:**

**Note: Personal experiences cannot in itself determine paranormal activity in a location, unless documented by video or audio equipment.**

**Chris F.** – No personal experiences to report.

**Wendy F.** – During our first block in the home we kept hearing different noises from all over the house, both Deana and I heard what sounded like something hitting or falling on the basement floor. We went to investigate it after the sound and did find several pieces of wood on the floor and couldn't tell where they had come from.

**Deana M.** – I kept hearing noises in the house while I was on our first vigil, we also heard something fall in the basement. It was loud enough to hear while we were upstairs and we went down to investigate what it was and found small pieces of wood on the floor. We picked up the piece and dropped it on the floor and it sounded exactly the same as what we had heard.

**Wayne M.** - No personal experiences to report.

**Sensitive Report:**

**(Note: All notes entered in this section must be backed up by historical data. audio / video, thermal, EMF or client confirmation to be considered valid evidence. Personal reaction or general observation will not be considered valid at any time. All personnel used for this are brought to the location without prior knowledge of the client or area as to not permit false statements or research prior to the walkthrough.)**

**Rita** – On my way over to the home I was humming hymns, while in the “great room” I had a feeling of being unable to breathe. I felt a male presence with a severe chest problem, an older big boned Slavic woman, short heavyset with thick build and ankles. She was wearing chunky shoes, blue dress, apron, and her hair in a bun. I felt like she was from the late 19<sup>th</sup> century. I also felt a man and he appears to be very tall but quiet, he seemed to suffer a long slow illness and the woman I felt was very controlling and overwhelming towards him.

**Foyer / Hall** - I felt distinct temperature changes and a very heavy uneasy feeling.

**Den** – I felt a dark unwelcome anger from the female; she is unhappy with the client and does not want him or anyone in “her space”. I feel like much of the activity is centered in this area.

**Spare Bedroom** – I was drawn to the closet, in particular the far corner. The energy was very high, there appears to be a “portal” in that corner; when I reached in there was “sparks”. When John reached in the sparks went between our hands. Also when I entered this room the dogs blocked me in, they wanted me to stay in this room for a bit.

**Conclusion:**

Having worked with this client before, we went into this home with more background than we are used to having on any particular investigation. As per MPI protocols and procedures we went in with the mindset that we must try and determine natural causes for the activity reported by this client. According to the client’s interview and personal notes the activity is sporadic and has been ongoing for some time. On this investigation, by the client’s request, we used several sensitives to try and see if they were able to pick up on anything that might be the cause for this activity. The sensitives present were able to “read” certain things while in the home. However, we were unable to validate any of their statements with physical evidence or related historical records. (See sensitive statement, this document, pages 9-10.)

During the investigation several of the MPI staff present did hear some unusual noises throughout the home and we were able to capture two audio clips of those incidents; however we cannot at this time conclude that the noises are indeed paranormal in nature. (See “Macomb Segment #1 and #2”) After a thorough review of all submitted data we were unable to capture any further data to support the client’s claims of paranormal activity within the home. However, we will continue to work with this client and continue our investigations of the home as needed to try and document the activity further as well as make sure the client feels safe while in the residence.

This report and all relevant investigation data will be given to the client as of 10/01/08 for review and retention.

Submitted:

Christopher Forsythe  
Case Manager / Field Lead  
Metro Paranormal Investigation