

# MPI

---

## METRO PARANORMAL INVESTIGATIONS

Auburn Residential Investigation  
Final Report  
Submitted by: Chris Forsythe

**Location:**

Rochester Hills, MI

**Date:**

February 8<sup>th</sup> 2008

**Investigation Duration:**

20:00 – 01:00 (5 hours)

**Investigators Present:**

Chris F. (*Lead investigator*)

Wendy F.

Chris C.

Erin C.

Deana M.

Wayne M. (*Lead investigator*)

Kevin J.

Kristie J.

**Location Condition:**

Single story refurbished block style home; history indicates it was used as a group home in the area. All new construction / renovation with crawl space. All new internal wiring also noted by current homeowner.

**Location Weather:**

Team members arrived on location after nightfall. During the day prior to the investigation it was slightly above freezing but as night fell the temperature started to fall below freezing with clear skies. The investigation was indoors, with temperatures showing normal throughout the home (average 71 Degrees).

**Location Weather (Cont.):**

Moon Phase: Waxing Crescent  
Solar X-Rays: Normal  
Geomagnetic Field: Quiet  
Temperature: 34 F  
Visibility: 6.0 miles  
Pressure: 29.95 Steady  
Dew Point: 30 F  
Humidity: 87%  
UV Index: 0 Low  
Wind: SSW 7 MPH

**Source:**

Homeowner (name and address withheld per client request)

**Assignments & Operations Schedule:**

**Rally Point / Operations Center:**

Dining room

**Team Roster:**

Alpha – Wendy, Erin, Kevin  
Bravo – Deana, Kristie, Chris  
Charlie – Wayne, Chris F.  
Technician – Chris C. (monitoring station)

**Investigation Schedule:**

20:00 – 21:00 - Setup / Equipment Check  
21:00 – 22:00 - Alpha  
22:00 – 23:00 - Bravo  
23:00 – 24:00 - Charlie

**Technical Notes / Equipment Placement:**

**DVR Camera #1** – Living Room, north wall facing center  
**DVR Camera #2** – Living Room, west wall facing hallway  
**DVR Camera #3** – Living Room, facing trigger object.  
**DVR Camera #4** – Rear Bedroom #1, south wall facing center  
**DVR Camera #5** – Rear Bedroom #2, north wall facing center  
**Mini DV** – Mobile device

**Stationary Audio:**

**Device #1** – Rear Bedroom (digital)

All teams were outfitted with at least one mobile recording unit as well as several digital cameras.

**Equipment on Hand:**

EMF Detectors  
Voltage Tester (baseline / testing)  
Laser Contact Thermal Gauge (LCTG)  
Ambient Thermal Gauge (ATG)  
DVR Night Vision Cameras (5)  
Mini DV IR Camera  
Digital Cameras  
External Microphones  
Digital Recorders  
Micro Cassette Recorders  
Flashlights  
IR Illuminators

**Reported Activity:**

Odd noises, footsteps, objects moving, being touched, banging on walls, DOP. Etc.

**Evidence Review & Findings**

**EVP / Audio:**

**Device #1 - Digital** – No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

**Device #2 - Micro Cassette** – No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

**Video:**

**Mini DV Camera** – (mobile unit) - No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

**DVR Camera #1** – (living room) No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

**DVR Camera #2** – (living room) No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

**DVR Camera #3** – (living room) No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

**DVR Camera #4** – (master bedroom) No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

**DVR Camera #5** – (child's bedroom) No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

**Photographs:**

Hundreds of photographs were taken during the investigation, as per MPI protocol all of the submitted pictures were set for review. A thorough analysis of all of these pictures yielded no result of any anomalous activity.

**EMF:**

Standard baseline readings were taken within the house and outlying cottage; no abnormal readings were noted above the baseline at any time during the investigation.

**Thermal:**

As is protocol with MPI two types of temperature gauges were used, the laser contact gauge (LCTG) as well as an ambient temperature gauge (ATG). Ambient temperature of the home was within standard norms, with no major changes recorded by the investigators present at the location.

**Investigator Feeling / Experiences:**

**Note: Personal experiences cannot in itself determine paranormal activity in a location, unless documented by video or audio equipment.**

**Chris F.** – No experiences to report.

**Wayne M.** – No experiences to report.

**Deana M.** – No experiences to report.

**Chris C.** – No experiences to report.

**Wendy F.** – No experiences to report.

**Erin C.** – No experiences to report.

**Kristie J.** – No experiences to report.

**Kevin J.** – No experiences to report.

**Final:**

Per MPI protocol, all photos, audio, and video taken during the investigation was carefully reviewed by multiple team members. Audio was downloaded and examined using audio analysis software. Each video piece was reviewed separately, and photos were carefully examined. MPI was not able to capture any anomalous events on any of the recording devices. This in no way disproves the claims of paranormal activity of the homeowner; it just shows that no anomalous activity occurred during MPI's investigation. If the homeowner continues to experience activity, they may contact MPI for further investigation.