

MPI

METRO PARANORMAL INVESTIGATIONS

Sweet Dreams Bed & Breakfast
Final Report
Submitted by: Chris Forsythe

Location:

Sweet Dreams Bed & Breakfast, Bayport MI

Date:

September 22nd 2007

Source:

Owner / GM (name withheld by client request)

Investigation Duration:

20:00 – 05:30 (9 hours)

Investigators Present:

Chris F. (*Lead investigator*)

Wayne M. (*Lead investigator*)

Wendy F.

Chris C.

Erin C.

Jo G.

Deana M.

Doug M.

Karen M.

Kat

Bev

Location Condition:

Old Victorian three-story home converted to a bed and breakfast for public use. This home sits on a large parcel of land and has an outlying cottage on the property. There has been recent renovation on almost all areas of the home itself along with active old wiring. The town of Bayport has large deposits of limestone and other minerals present, and is home to an active quarry in close proximity to this location.

Location Weather:

The investigation night was calm and clear, a light breeze was blowing out of the west with dropping temperatures to the upper 40s after nightfall. The interior of the home was at an average of 78 degrees.

Moon Phase: Waxing Crescent

Solar X-Rays: Normal

Geomagnetic Field: Quiet

Temperature: 64 F

Visibility: 10.0 miles

Pressure: 30.27 Falling

Dew Point: 34 F

Humidity: 32%

UV Index: 2 Low

Wind: SSW 9 MPH

Supposed Activity:

- Apparitions
- Odd noises
- Footsteps
- Objects moving
- Voices
- Children's laughter
- Music
- Being touched
- Banging on walls, etc.

Assignments & Operations Schedule:

Rally Point / Operations Center:

Main floor, dining room area

Team Roster:

Team #1 – Chris F. & Wayne M.

Team #2 – Deana M. & Kat

Team #3 – Wendy F., Bev, & Karen M.

Team #4 – Erin C., Jo G., & Doug M.

Technician – Chris C.

Investigation Schedule:

Cabin:

20:00 – 21:30 Team #1
22:00 – 23:30 Team #2
00:00 – 01:30 Team #3
02:00 – 03:30 Team #4
04:00 – 05:30 Team #1

Main Floor:

20:00 – 21:30 Team #2
22:00 – 23:30 Team #1
00:00 – 01:30 Team #4
02:00 – 03:30 Team #3
04:00 – 05:30 Team #2

2nd Floor:

20:00 – 21:30 Team #4
22:00 – 23:30 Team #3
00:00 – 01:30 Team #1
02:00 – 03:30 Team #2
04:00 – 05:30 Team #4

3rd Floor:

20:00 – 21:30 Team #3
22:00 – 23:30 Team #4
00:00 – 01:30 Team #2
02:00 – 03:30 Team #1
04:00 – 05:30 Team #3

Equipment on Hand:

Laptops
EMF Detectors
Laser Contact Thermal Gauge (LCTG)
Ambient Thermal Gauge (ATG)
DVR Night Vision Cameras (3)
Mini DV IR Camera
Digital Cameras
External Microphones
Digital Recorders
Micro Cassette Recorders
Flashlights
IR Illuminators
Camcorders, various (3)

Note:

All recording equipment was loaded with both fresh batteries and recording media as is MPI investigation protocol, and voice checks were performed for recognition and recording quality. All media was transferred to digital file for review upon completion of the investigation.

Technical Notes / Equipment Placement

Video Camera Placement:

- DVR Camera #1 – 2nd floor “rose” room
- DVR Camera #2 – 2nd floor “canopy” room #4
- DVR Camera #3 – 3rd Floor main room
- HI – 8 Camera – 1st Floor main room
- Mini DV – 2nd Floor small hallway, 3rd floor landing
- Hard Drive Camera – Outlying cabin

Stationary Audio:

- Device #1 Standard cassette recorder – Cabin
- Device #2 Digital recorder – Canopy room
- Device #3 Digital recorder – 3rd floor main room
- Device #4 Digital recorder -- Attic

All teams were outfitted with at least one mobile recording unit as well as several digital cameras. Stationary unit positions were noted by the technical staff for reload and pickup at investigation completion.

Evidence Review and Findings

Photographs:

Hundreds of photographs were taken during the investigation; as per MPI protocol all of the submitted pictures were reviewed. A thorough analysis of all of these pictures yielded no result of any anomalous activity. Due to recent renovation many of the pictures had “orbs” created by dust circulating in the air; this was verified by airborne particles being picked up by our field lighting on location.

EVP / Audio:

Device #1 Standard Cassette (Stationary - outlying cabin) - No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Device #2 Digital Recorder (Stationary - canopy room) - No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Device #3 Digital Recorder (Stationary - 3rd floor main room) - No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Device #4 Digital Recorder (Stationary – attic area) - No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Digital Recorders (Mobile units) - No physical evidence captured on any of these devices at the time of the investigation.

Micro Cassette Recorders (Mobile units) - No physical evidence captured on any of these devices at the time of the investigation.

Video:

Mini DV Camera (2nd Floor small hallway / 3rd floor landing) - No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

HI – 8 Camera (1st Floor main room) - No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Hard Drive Camera (Outlying cabin) - No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera #1 (2nd floor “rose” room) - No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera #2 (2nd floor “canopy” room) - No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR Camera #3 (3rd floor main room) - No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

EMF:

Standard baseline readings were taken within the house and outlying cottage; no abnormal readings were noted above the baseline at any time during the investigation. Baseline on every level of the home was noted at 1-3 milligauss with spikes near all major appliances as well as near the primary fuse panel. The home was noted with old “cloth” covered cable and it was under repair conditions at the time of our visit with many exposed outlets and fixture plates.

Thermal:

As is protocol with MPI two types of temperature gauges were used, the laser contact gauge (LCTG) as well as an ambient temperature gauge (ATG). Ambient temperature of

the home was noted between 78 and 80 degrees with no major changes recorded by the investigators present at the location.

Investigator Feeling / Experiences

Note: Personal experiences cannot in itself determine paranormal activity in a location, unless documented by video or audio equipment.

Chris F. – No experiences to report.

Wayne M. – No experiences to report.

Deana M. – Approximately 8:30 pm on the 1st floor, Kat was asking EVP questions regarding Frannie and Billy, during which I had an overwhelming “hot spell;” when the questioning stopped the feeling went away. Heard a bang while asking EVP questions on the 2nd floor.

Chris C. – No experiences to report.

Wendy F. – On the 3rd floor, heard a loud bang in one of the rooms, very audible. Heard noises like movement coming from the attic area above us.

Kat – Felt a cold chill on my left arm while sitting on the first floor (first watch). Deana noted there was a cold area by me. Did not appear to come from window, A/C vents or other air vents. Heard two sounds (like cupboards or doors closing) while on the third floor.

Bev – While with Wendy, she heard a loud noise during first watch on third Floor, sounded a bit a like a book falling. A similar noise followed later. With Wendy & Jo, saw the lace swag on the canopy bed move while calling out the children's names. Experienced deja vu when first seeing the home and in certain rooms. (i.e., she knew exactly where the bedrooms were and their placement on the 2nd floor and where the kitchen was the minute she walked in the front door)

Doug M. – On the 3rd floor a cold spot formed at arms length in front of me, it moved from right to left and two other investigators felt the same thing.

Karen M. – No experiences to report.

Jo G. – On the 3rd floor around 10:15, I heard Doug comment on a moving cold spot and went over to verify this. I did feel it move as well. Later that evening in the same location no cold spots or temperature drops occurred.

Erin C. – No experiences to report.

Sensitive Report:

At the time of the investigation, no MPI staff sensitives were available to collect any data for this report.

Conclusion:

The investigation at this location was indeed interesting. Although no positive proof of paranormal activity was noted or captured on any of our equipment, many of the staff of the investigative team did have personal experiences while in certain areas of the inn. The history of this location goes back many years and has many twists and turns that could possibly create an environment for a haunting. Our team hopes to return to this area and investigate further to try and document the activity that the homeowner has claimed. We will be returning to the inn to report our findings to the client and discuss any new activity that may have taken place since our investigation.