

MPI

METRO PARANORMAL INVESTIGATIONS

Locker Room Saloon Investigation #2
Final Report
Submitted by: Chris Forsythe

Location:

Business, Utica MI

Date:

June 17th 2007

Source:

David (OZ), Manager; Christine (CK), Events Coordinator

Investigation Duration:

6 Hours (19:00-01:00)

Investigators Present:

Chris C. (*Lead investigator*)
Wayne M. (*Lead investigator*)
Wendy F.
Rita S.
Donn M.
Chris C.
Erin C.
Kevin J.
Kristie J.

Guests:

Kathy
Bev
Oz (*Business manager*)
Tackleberry

Location Condition:

Historic building with basement and upper level; formerly the site of a hotel and several other businesses prior to the area being destroyed by a fire. (See historical documentation)

Location Weather:

Upon our arrival it was hot and humid with a slight drop in temperature by the end of the investigation. The AC was not on in most of the establishment during our visit, causing the investigation area to also be humid.

Moon Phase: Waxing Crescent

Solar X-Rays: Normal

Geomagnetic Field: Quiet

Temperature: 86°F

Visibility: 10.0.0 mi

Pressure: 30.00" rising

Dew Point: 34°F

Humidity: 34%

UV Index: 8 Very High

Wind: Calm

Reported Activity:

- Shadow sightings
- Apparitions
- Uneasy feelings; feeling of being watched
- Disappearing object phenomena
- Levitations
- Footsteps
- Objects moving freely

Equipment on Hand:

Digital Cameras

Digital Voice Recorders

Micro Cassette Recorders

External Microphones

Laptop (2)

Video Recorders (handy cam w/night shot, digital, Hi-8)

IR Headlamps

Flashlights

2 Way Radios

Digital EMF gauge

Cell Sensor EMF gauge

Laser Thermal Contact Gauge

DVR Night Vision Camera System

Note:

All recording equipment was loaded with both fresh batteries and recording media as is MPI investigation protocol, and voice checks were performed for recognition and recording quality. All media was transferred to digital file for review upon completion of the investigation.

Evidence Review and Findings

Photographs:

As is standard protocol for MPI, several hundred photographs were taken at various areas throughout this location. Upon review of all submitted photographs only one contained a light anomaly taken from the upstairs area. (See attached photograph)

EVP:

Digital #1 (stationary device in upstairs) - No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Digital #2 (All mobile devices) - No physical evidence captured on these devices at the time of the investigation.

Micro Cassette (Mobile device) - Several unexplainable sounds were captured, but they could be attributed to natural occurrence or whisper upon review.

Video:

Digital – (Stationary in kitchen area) No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

Mini DV – (Stationary on main floor) No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation. Approximately 3 hours of video footage taken.

Hi-8 (Stationary in upstairs area) No physical evidence captured on this device at the time of the investigation.

DVR (stationary in basement main room) – Several clips were noted during the review of this video footage; a “cord” in motion was captured as well as several light anomalies in the same area of the basement. This occurred only once during the entire video and the time stamp indicates that no one would have been present in the building at the time this was noted. We returned to the same area and spent over an hour looking for vents, drafts,

or anything else that may have caused this to occur, but could find no natural or man made objects that could have made the cord move. (See attached video clips)

EMF

Both EMF devices were used during the investigation in several areas, but due to the wiring of this location the meters would spike at random and an accurate reading could not be obtained.

Thermal:

The Laser Contact Gauge (LCG) was used during the original baseline run of the location prior to our investigation. An average of 75 degrees was gathered throughout the location.

Investigator Feeling / Experiences

(Note: Personal experiences cannot in itself determine paranormal activity in a location, unless documented by video or audio equipment)

Chris F. – Witnessed an electrical cord in the upstairs area start to swing. Upon reentering the office area I noticed that the computer had returned to the desktop screen; when we had left the office the first time the computer was on “power save” mode. Could not explain the placement of a chair that seemed to appear in the upstairs hallway. At setup the chair was not present but at the arrival of the first team they noticed the chair in that area.

Wayne M. - Witnessed an electrical cord in the upstairs area start to swing. Upon reentering the office area I noticed that the computer had returned to the desktop screen; when we had left the office the first time the computer was on “power save” mode. Could not explain the placement of a chair that seemed to appear in the upstairs hallway. At setup the chair was not present but at the arrival of the first team they noticed the chair in that area.

Wendy F. – No experiences to report.

Kevin J. – Temporary battery drain in upstairs area of the location, upon leaving the area the equipment started to function as normal.

Kristie J. – Uneasy feelings in upstairs area of this location; witnessed a black shadow in the kitchen area. Heard the office door in the basement open and close more than once while in a separate area of the basement.

Erin C. – Heard office door in the basement open and close while in separate area of the basement.

Chris C. – No experiences to report.

Tack – Witnessed several anomalies on the basement DVR camera; heard the office door open and close while in separate area of the basement.

Oz – Witnessed an electrical cord in the area start to swing on its own in the upstairs area.

Sensitive Report

The team sensitive came to the investigation location for the first time to try and pick up and isolate any activity / entities present there. They were brought in “blind” without any prior knowledge of this location’s history or activity. During the duration of their visit, they were able pick up several presences that may be causing the activity as well as certain events that could be verified not only by the historical records of the location but by the owner of the establishment.

Upon finishing her walkthrough of the location, the sensitive sat down with the owner to discuss these findings with him. The sensitive staff will be returning again at a future date to further investigate these findings as well as to conduct a better “reading” of the location. As is MPI protocol, this entire session was recorded on audio, but no anomalous evidence was captured on the audio device during this time.

Final:

With our return to this location a second time we were able to experience more than the previous investigation. There were many personal experiences as well as what seemed to be physical movement of an object at this location that was captured on our DVR system. Also upon our return we were able to bring our staff sensitive to “read” the location; she was brought in with no prior knowledge of this area. She was able to pick up on many events to validate some of the owner’s experiences as well as validate some of the location’s history and former residents. We will be returning to this location as it is an ongoing investigation and we hope to capture more physical evidence during that time.